THE EFFECT OF ULTRASOUND EXPOSURE IN UTERO ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FETAL MOUSE TESTIS: ADULT CONSEQUENCES
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Abstract—The effects of exposure in utero to 1 MHz, continuous-wave ultrasound on adult growth and testicular development in the mouse was investigated. The spatial peak temporal average intensity (I_{SPTA}) employed ranged from 1 to 10 W/cm², with exposure durations (t) of 200 s to 20 s. Exposures were made on days 9, 12 or 15 of gestation. Results showed an increase in postpartum deaths, an increase in the number of stillbirths, and a decrease in litter size when I^2 t ≥ 1125 W² s/cm⁴, such that there was significant loss of pups. Birthweights of pups from nearly all dosage groups was significantly lower than that of the sham or cage control groups. Results also showed that males exposed to ultrasound in utero had decreased testis size and decreased daily sperm production ranging from 9% to 30%. This study showed that ultrasound exposure in utero is capable of disrupting fetal development and having potential subsequent effects on fertility in the adult male.
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INTRODUCTION

Ultrasound has been used extensively worldwide for over three decades to monitor the health and development of the human fetus (Freiherr 1989). As a result of this usage, concern for the safety of the fetus prompted a number of animal studies designed to determine whether deleterious effects occur in a variety of biological systems following ultrasound exposure in utero. A number of these studies yielded positive results for fetal weight reduction and/or developmental abnormalities, some of which were subtle in nature (Carstensen and Gates 1985; O'Brien 1985; Siddiqi et al. 1988; Tarantal and Hendrickx, 1989a, 1989b).

A recent study, using the mouse as an animal model (Carnes et al. 1991b), demonstrated reduced fetal weight in litters exposed to ultrasound in utero, as well as increased incidence of stillbirths and resorptions. This study also showed effects on the development of the fetal testis which included a reduction in testis size and the number of Sertoli cells. Mitotic gonocytes were found to be present in the exposed testes during a period when this cell division should have been quiescent. Such effects have the potential to induce long-term consequences on sperm production in the adult animal. The reduction in Sertoli cell number is of particular concern as the Sertoli cell is known to control testis size, as well as adult daily sperm production (Hess et al. 1993; Orth et al. 1988). Experimental destruction of Sertoli cells in the fetus has been shown to result in reduced sperm production in the adult (Orth et al. 1988). The present study was undertaken to determine if the effects of ultrasound exposure in utero were permanent and how they may be manifested in the adult male. Coincident with the effects on male reproduction, the effects of exposure to ultrasound in utero on growth and viability of the postpartum animal were also investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal care and mating

All animals were housed in the same animal care room. They were maintained on a 14:10-h light:dark schedule with food (Purina rat chow) and water dispensed ad libitum. Male mice were housed in close proximity to females to ensure regular 4- to 5-day
estrous cycles (Whittingham and Wood 1983). Ethical and humane standards governing the care and use of animals for experimental purposes were maintained at all times throughout the course of the study.

Female nulliparous ICR:HD (Harlan Sprague–Dawley, Indianapolis, IN, USA) mice, 70 to 100 days of age in proestrus or estrus, as determined by vaginal smears, were mated to fertile males in the afternoon. The following morning (day 0) the males were removed and females with seminal plugs or sperm-positive vaginal smears were set aside for exposure to ultrasound on day 9, 12 or 15 postcoitus (pc).

Following the exposure, dams were placed in individual cages and allowed normal delivery on day 19 pc. On the morning of birth, the pups were counted and weighed individually. On day 21 postpartum (pp) the pups were weighed, weaned and separated according to sex. Siblings of the same sex were housed together in the same cage until killing on day 50 pp.

**Ultrasound exposure system and calibration**

Figure 1 illustrates the exposure system used, which included a Hewlett-Packard (Palo Alto, CA, USA) signal generator, an ENI (Rochester, NY, USA) RF power amplifier, and a 1-in.-diameter (2.54 cm) PZT-4, 1-MHz unfocused ultrasound transducer. The 1-MHz unfocused transducer acoustic field had a 95% power beam width of 1 cm and a 3-dB beam width of 1.9 cm. Primary calibration of the spatial peak, temporal average acoustic intensity output ($I_{spta}$) was carried out by determining the force exerted on a small 1/16-in.-diameter (0.16 cm) steel sphere and was accurate to ±3% (Dunn et al. 1977). The calibration was carried out at the same location in the sound field at which the specimen was positioned for the exposure procedure. The ultrasonic beam pattern was determined by the transient thermoelectric method (Fry and Dunn 1962).

**Experimental procedures**

The dams were anesthetized on the day of exposure with methoxyflurane (Metofane, Pitman-Moore) inhalation, shaved and depilated on the abdomen, back, and sides, and swabbed with a mild detergent solution to affect wetting of the skin. Depilation was necessary to remove hair stubble, which can trap air bubbles that would significantly distort the sound field. The specimen, mounted in a specially designed holder, was placed in the Plexiglas exposure tank filled with degassed mammalian Ringer’s solution maintained at 37°C, such that the abdomen faced the transducer and was perpendicular to the ultrasound beam axis (see Fig. 1). The muzzle of the animal protruded above the degassed water sound transmission medium and was fitted into the nose cone of the anesthesia circulator mounted on the holder, which maintained anesthesia throughout the exposure procedure.

A $2 \times 3$ exposure matrix (two exposure sites across the abdomen and three down) over the abdominal area was employed to assure uniform exposure over the entire uterus. This was accomplished by maintaining the holder and animal stationary, while moving the transducer (with the sound off) to each of the six predetermined exposure positions. A digital position-indicating device was used to maintain the 1-cm center-to-center distance between exposure positions such that the exposure overlap did not occur. The pattern of exposure was the same for all animals.

Groups of six dams, four exposed and two shams, were used for each of the ultrasound doses, i.e., for each pair of acoustic intensity ($I$) and duration of exposure ($t$) parameters, for each of the 3 days of gestation during which the exposures were carried out. Sham-exposed animals were treated identically as the ultrasound exposed animals, with the exception that the transducer was not energized and so $I = 0$. A group of eight cage control litters were also assessed to determine if any aspect of the preparation procedure could affect the experimental subjects. The cage control animals were randomly selected throughout the entire course of the study to continually monitor the animal colony.

Six ultrasound doses were used: 1 W/cm² for 200
Fig. 2. Effect of ultrasound dosage on the number of stillbirths. Values are the mean percentage of stillbirths per treatment group. Asterisks indicate values that are significantly different (p < 0.05) from the sham group. The cage control value was 0. Error bars are the SEM.

Determination of sperm production

Daily sperm production (DSP) was determined by the procedure of Robb et al. (1978) with modifications. Briefly, the testis was thawed, the tunica albuginea removed and the testis reweighed. The testis was then homogenized for 2 min in 25 mL of physiological saline which contained 0.05% (vol/vol) Triton X-100. For counting, 0.1 mL of the homogenate was added to 0.4 mL normal saline containing 4% Trypan blue. Ten-microliter aliquots were placed on a hemocytometer and the surviving step 17 to 19 spermatids were counted using a microscope. These counts determined the total spermatids per sample, which were used to calculate...
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Fig. 3. Mean percentage of postpartum deaths for exposed and sham groups. Asterisks indicate values which are significantly different (p < 0.05) from the shams. Error bars are the SEM. Cage control value was 0.

the total number of spermatids per testis. As round spermatids undergo elongation during sperm development, the nucleoprotein becomes highly condensed and cross-linked. Thus, the nucleus becomes resistant to homogenization while the nuclear material of other cells is destroyed (Amann 1982).
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Fig. 4. Mean percentage of the total number of deaths (stillbirths and postpartum deaths) per treatment group, resulting from ultrasound exposure in utero. Asterisks indicate values which are significantly different (p < 0.05) from the sham group. Error bars are the SEM. Cage control value was 0.
Table 1. The effect of ultrasound exposure on litter size (number of live births) (mean ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ft dosage (W² s/cm⁴)</th>
<th>Day of exposure in utero</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>9.4 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>9.2 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125</td>
<td>11.0 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>10.8 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>11.5 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage control</td>
<td>11.9 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different (p < 0.05) from the sham values.

In the ICR mouse, developing spermatids spend approximately 4.8 days in steps 17 to 19 (Oakberg 1956) during spermatogenesis. DSP was determined by dividing the total number of spermatids by 4.8.

Testis cell counts

To determine the testicular cells that may contribute to a decrease in DSP, cell counts were made of exposed testes for the 10-W/cm², 20-s exposures which occurred on days 12 and 15, as well as for the sham-exposure group. The number of round spermatids and Sertoli cell nucleoli in Stage VII round seminiferous tubule cross-sections were counted. For Stage XII, the number of Sertoli cell nucleoli, pachytene spermatocytes and degenerating germ cells were counted. Numbers were compared statistically by ANOVA.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SYSTAT (Systat, Inc., Evanston, IL, USA). Percentage data were transformed by arcsine prior to analysis. Arcsine transformation is specified for proportions in order to stabilize variance prior to ANOVA (Snedecor and Cochran 1980). The Tukey-Kramer test of significant difference of the means was used to identify significant differences between groups (Stoline 1981). Differences were considered significant when p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Effect of ultrasound on litters

Exposure to ultrasound in utero at all the doses employed produced effects on the viability of both the fetal and neonatal animals, as shown in Figs. 2, 3 and 4. These figures show, respectively, the mean percentage of stillbirths (Fig. 2), postpartum deaths (Fig. 3) and total deaths (Fig. 4) per litter between the exposed and sham-exposed groups. The cage control litters had no stillbirths or postpartum deaths. The incidence of stillbirths increased with increasing $Ft$ dosage and was significantly different from the shams at the two highest dosages (see Fig. 2). Only one sham group had stillbirths.

The mean percentage per litter of postpartum deaths for each dosage is presented in Fig. 3. While all exposure groups had a greater incidence of postpartum deaths than the sham groups, two treatment groups had a significantly greater number, the $Ft = 200$ W² s/cm⁴ group and the $Ft = 1125$ W² s/cm⁴ group. Only two of the sham groups had any postpartum deaths.

The mean percentage total loss of animals (number of stillbirths and postpartum deaths combined) per litter is presented in Fig. 4. The incidence of loss was nearly equal for the lower three dosages and did not show a significant difference from that of the sham litters. The incidence of loss dramatically increased and became significantly different when $Ft$ equalled 1125 W² s/cm⁴ and 1500 W² s/cm⁴. Following exposure to the highest dosage, the mean total loss was again higher than, but not significantly different from, the sham litters.

Not reflected in Figs. 2 to 4 is the influence of day of exposure on the number of deaths. The animals exposed on day 9 had a greater incidence of both stillbirths and postpartum deaths, 21.6% total loss, versus the animals exposed on day 12 (11.9%) and day 15 (10.3% loss). The cage control group had a 0% total loss. The sham group had total losses of 0%, 1.85% and 1.5%, from exposure days 9, 12 and 15, respectively. The total loss for all animals in the study was 0% for the cage control group ($n = 95$), 1.1% for the sham group ($n = 361$) and 13.9% for the exposed group ($n = 531$).

Litter size (the total number of live births) was significantly reduced from that of the sham and cage control litters for the three dosages where $Ft \approx 1125$ W² s/cm⁴ (see Table 1). Of the groups that were significantly smaller, only one, the D9, $Ft = 1500$ W² s/cm⁴, was decreased due to a large number of stillbirths. A reduction in litter size without the presence of stillborn pups can be construed as indirect...
Table 2. The effect of ultrasound exposure on birth weight (g) (mean ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P^2$ dosage (W²/cm²)</th>
<th>Sham</th>
<th>Day of exposure in utero</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.18&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.15&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage control</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Significantly different ($p < 0.05$) from the sham groups.

Ultrasound exposure also caused a significantly lowered birthweight (Table 2) for 10 of the 17 dosage/gestational day combinations. However, a pronounced dose effect was not apparent. Animals exposed on day 9 to the highest dosage were significantly heavier than the sham animals.

The reduction in weight at birth in the animals exposed to ultrasound on day 9 pc appeared to be transitory as they caught up in weight with the sham and cage control groups by weaning (Table 3) and showed no difference at day 50 (see Tables 4 and 5). It should be noted that the $P^2 = 750$ W²/cm² dosage males had significantly lower weights at time of killing than did the shams for that group, but were not significantly lower than the cage controls. Males that received the $P^2 = 2000$ W²/cm² dosage were higher in weight than the shams and cage controls from birth through killing. The day 9 exposed females exhibited no significant weight changes.

Animals exposed to ultrasound on day 12 exhibited confusing results. While only three of the six groups showed lowered birthweights, ultrasound-exposed animals from all six exposure dosages had significant differences in weaning weights from the respective shams. One half had lower weaning weights and one half had higher weaning weights. Of those dosage groups that had significantly higher weaning weights, the $P^2 = 200$ W²/cm² group was not significantly different from the cage controls. Therefore, the increase in weaning weights may be due in part to a smaller litter size (see Table 1), as the two dosages that had significantly increased weaning weights also showed significantly decreased litter size. The increased weight of these two groups persisted to day 50 in the males (Table 4). Animals receiving $P^2 = 1000$ W²/cm² dosage never caught up in weight to the shams and cage controls and the males continued to be significantly lower in weight on day 50. The females of this dosage group were significantly lower in weight at time of killing than the shams, but not significantly lower than the cage controls (Table 5).

The same $P^2$ dosages that caused reduced birthweight following day 12 exposure also resulted in reduced birthweight following day 15 exposure (Table 5). In this case, only one dosage ($P^2 = 1000$ W²/cm²) showed a significant difference from both the shams and cage controls at weaning and two other groups were significantly lower than the cage controls but not the shams. Three dosages given on day 15 showed significantly lower body weights than the sham

Table 3. The effect of ultrasound on body weight (g) at weaning (mean ± SEM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P^2$ dosage (W²/cm²)</th>
<th>Sham</th>
<th>Day of exposure in utero</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>9.6 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>11.3 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>12.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125</td>
<td>11.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>14.6 ± 1.6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>12.8 ± 3.3</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.1 ± 2.7</td>
<td>14.8 ± 3.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage control</td>
<td>11.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Significantly different ($p < 0.05$) from the sham groups.
Ultrasound in utero exposure of mouse testis

Table 4. The effect of ultrasound exposure on body weight (g) of males at day 50 (mean ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P't dosage (W² s/cm⁴)</th>
<th>Sham</th>
<th>Day of exposure in utero</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>30.6 ± 2.2</td>
<td>30.6 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>33.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>30.9 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>32.9 ± 2.6</td>
<td>32.3 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125</td>
<td>29.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>34.0 ± 1.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>32.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>30.7 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>31.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>34.8 ± 1.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage control</td>
<td>31.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significantly different (p < 0.05) from the sham groups.

males on day 50. However, as was the case of the day 9 exposures, males exposed to P't = 750 W² s/cm⁴ and 1000 W² s/cm⁴ were different from the sham group but not the cage control group and the P't = 1125 W² s/cm⁴ dosage group was different from the cage control group but not different from the sham group.

Testis effects

Adult testicular weights were significantly reduced in 6 of the 17 exposed groups compared to the sham and/or cage control groups (Fig. 5). Mean testis weight in the P't = 2000 W² s/cm⁴, day 15 group was significantly higher than the shams, but not higher than the cage controls.

The data regarding testicular volume is presented in Fig. 6. Seven exposure groups, indicated by the asterisks, had mean testis volumes which were significantly lower than the sham and/or cage control groups. None of the groups had testis volumes that were unusually high, suggesting that edema or swelling is not a factor following ultrasound exposure in utero. All of the groups exposed on day 9 pc had significantly reduced testicular volumes.

Daily sperm production (DSP) data is presented in Fig. 7. DSP was significantly decreased from that of the shams and/or cage controls for several exposed groups and was significantly elevated compared to the sham, but not the cage control for one group (P't = 1125 W² s/cm⁴, day 12). The P't = 2000 W² s/cm⁴ dosage significantly reduced DSP for all 3 exposure days, while testis weight was not affected and volume was only affected on day 9.

There was a significant reduction (p < 0.05) in the number of Sertoli cell nucleoli and the ratio of pachytene spermatocytes to Sertoli cell nucleoli/tubule cross-section in the animals exposed on day 15 of gestation as compared to the shams. This is shown in Table 6 for a group having a significant difference in daily sperm production. There was also an increase in the number of degenerating germ cells. No differences were found in any of the cell counts of animals exposed on day 12 of gestation.

DISCUSSION

It is evident from these results that in utero exposure of fetal mice to ultrasound at the dosages used in this study had adverse effects on fetal and neonatal mortality, as well as lasting effects on growth and reproductive capacity (DSP) of the male offspring. These effects are seen to be related to the P't ultrasound parameter, which suggests that two mechanisms of action are responsible, one of which is heat and the other not identified. Precise fetal temperature increase measurements (Carnes et al. 1991a) and the lack of correlation with the P't ultrasound parameter are strong evidence that heat alone is not the cause of the effects.

Table 5. The effect of ultrasound exposure of body weight (g) of females at day 50 (mean ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P't dosage (W² s/cm⁴)</th>
<th>Sham</th>
<th>Day 9</th>
<th>Day of exposure in utero</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>25.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>24.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>25.7 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>26.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>26.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>26.2 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>27.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>25.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>23.3 ± 1.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125</td>
<td>24.9 ± 3.1</td>
<td>25.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>23.0 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>25.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>25.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>26.5 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>26.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>27.1 ± 1.5</td>
<td>28.5 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage Control</td>
<td>25.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significantly different (p < 0.05) from the sham groups.
Fig. 5. Effect of ultrasound exposure in utero on adult testis weight. Asterisks indicate values that are significantly different \((p < 0.05)\) from the sham group. Error bars are the SEM. The solid line across the top represents the cage control value \((0.116 \pm 0.003 \text{ g})\).

seen in this study. However, heat is a contributing factor, particularly when the \(I^2t\) dosage is at or above \(1125 \text{ W}^2\text{ s/cm}^4\).

A two-mechanism theory is especially apparent when considering the data regarding mortality (Figs. 2, 3 and 4). It was clearly seen that as the dosage increased, so did the incidence of stillbirths and probably resorptions as the litter size was significantly

Fig. 6. Effect of ultrasound exposure in utero on adult testis volume. Asterisks indicate values that are significantly different \((p \leq 0.05)\) from the sham groups. Error bars are the SEM. The straight line represents the cage control value \((113.5 \pm 2.23 \text{ mm}^3)\).
Ultrasound in utero exposure of mouse testis - CARNES et al.

Fig. 7. The effect of ultrasound exposure in utero on daily sperm production of the adult. Asterisks indicate values that are significantly different (p ≤ 0.05) from the sham groups. The error bars are the SEM. The line represents the value of the cage control group (3.88 \times 10^6 \pm 0.18 \times 10^6).

smaller (Table 1). However, the incidence of postpartum deaths decreased with increasing ultrasound dosage. This suggests that, at the lower dosages, an "unidentified" mechanism is involved such that the fetus can survive in utero but cannot survive following birth, as the majority of postpartum deaths occurred within the first 24 h of life. At the higher dosages, heat becomes a factor and the fetuses die in utero and are either resorbed, if death occurs early in gestation, or are stillborn if death is late in gestation. The reason for the lower rate of mortality in the highest dosage group, 1^2 t = 2000 W^2 s/cm^4, is not known.

The conflicting weaning weight data (Table 3) can be explained by the smaller litter size of the day 12 exposed animals. It has previously been established that weights of the young are dependent on the number of pups being reared (Brumby 1960). These litters were smaller in number at birth and also had large numbers of postpartum deaths, most of which occurred in the first week of life.

Fetal weight reduction is a common effect following in utero exposure to a variety of agents or insults, and results from various mechanisms. Cocaine (Scanlon 1991), nicotine (Zahalka et al. 1992) and carbon monoxide (Maines 1993) exert their effects by inhibiting oxygen uptake. The methylxanthines, caffeine, theobromine and theophylline, inhibit phosphodiesterase, act as an adenosine receptor antagonist and affect translocation of calcium (Rall et al. 1995). Maternal stress causes an increase in fetal ACTH and corticosterone and a decrease in fetal corticotrophin-releasing factor (CRF) and \( \beta \)-endorphins (Ohkawa et al. 1991). Lead (Ramin et al. 1993) and nitrates (Roth and Smith 1988) both affect iron metabolism, which also results in fetal growth retardation. 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) is also known to cause reduced birthweight at some dosage levels but this was not a permanent effect (Mably et al. 1992). The mechanism of action of alcohol, with respect to fetal weight reduction, is unclear. Exposure to alcohol in utero is known to prevent the prenatal testosterone surge necessary for normal male reproductive development and again results in feminizing behavior as well as morphological and physiological effects (McGivern et al. 1988) not seen following ultrasound exposure.

With regard to male reproductive development and subsequent adult effects, estrogenic compounds such as diethylstilbestrol, ethynylestradiol and PCBs are thought to act on the pituitary by disruption of negative feedback, thereby changing the interplay of hormones necessary for normal male reproductive development (Sharpe and Skakkebaek 1993). These changes result in effects such as feminization of behavior, lack of testis descent and gonadal dysgenesis. As the latter two effects were not seen following in utero ultrasound exposure, it is unlikely that ultrasound causes an imbalance of pituitary hormones. Changes in reproductive behavior of the males following in utero
ultrasound exposure were not assessed. However, TCDD, another estrogenic compound, also causes demasculinization and feminized LH responses, but in addition results in similar effects as seen following in utero ultrasound exposure, namely reduced testis weight and lowered daily sperm production. It is unlikely that stress of the animals during exposure can explain the ultrasound effects seen in this study. Stress in utero results in endocrine changes which cause male feminization behavior similar to that produced by the estrogenic compounds (Pollard and Dyer 1985), though the effect on DSP was not reported.

There are, however, a number of similarities between the effects of caffeine and those of ultrasound both on general growth and male reproductive development. Dunlop and Court (1981) showed significant reduction in both birth weight and neonatal survival following caffeine exposure in utero. Pollard et al. (1990) showed growth effects following caffeine exposure in utero which persisted in the males of some dosage groups similar to that seen in the present study and, as with ultrasound, the females caught up with their sham counterparts. Caffeine has also been shown to delay fetal testicular development (McGivern et al. 1988) and involved the Sertoli cell in a manner similar to that seen following ultrasound exposure in utero (Carnes et al. 1991b). Pollard et al. (1987) also found a decrease in 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase concentrations at all dosages and a decrease in testosterone at the highest dosage which persisted into adulthood. They did not, however, measure DSP or other parameters of testicular function.

Results of the present study confirm that the fetal effects on testicular development previously seen following exposure to 1 MHz ultrasound in utero (Carnes et al. 1991b) are permanent and detrimental to adult male reproductive capacity. The reduction in testis size and the number of Sertoli cell nuclei per tubule cross-section leads to the conclusion that the establishment of the Sertoli cell population appears to be involved in the overall effect of ultrasound on testicular development. DSP in ultrasound exposed males was reduced from 9% to 33% lower than that of the cage controls or sham-exposed animals. Although this reduction is significant, it is probably not sufficient to reduce fertility in the rodent, which produces numbers of sperm far in excess of that necessary for normal fertility (Working 1988). The situation is quite different for human males, as they tend to produce sperm near the threshold needed for normal fertility (Working 1988). Decreases in DSP, such as those seen in this study, would render human males either subfertile or infertile. Thus, ultrasound should be viewed as an agent capable of disrupting fetal development and having potential subsequent effects on fertility in the adult male.
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