The African Lungfish (Protopterus dolloi): Ionoregulation and Osmoregulation in a Fish out of Water
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ABSTRACT

Although urea production and metabolism in lungfish have been thoroughly studied, we have little knowledge of how internal osmotic and electrolyte balance are controlled during estivation or in water. We tested the hypothesis that, compared with the body surface of teleosts, the slender African lungfish (Protopterus dolloi) body surface was relatively impermeable to water, Na+, and Cl− due to its greatly reduced gills. Accordingly, we measured the tritiated water (3H-H2O) flux in P. dolloi in water and during air exposure. In water, 3H-H2O efflux was comparable with the lowest measurements reported in freshwater teleosts, with a rate constant (K) of 17.6% body water h−1. Unidirectional ion fluxes, measured using 22Na and 36Cl−, indicated that Na+ and Cl− influx was more than 90% lower than values reported in most freshwater teleosts. During air exposure, a cocoon formed within 1 wk that completely covered the dorsolateral body surface. However, there were no disturbances to blood osmotic or ion (Na+, Cl−) balance, despite seven- to eightfold increases in plasma urea after 20 wk. Up to 13-fold increases in muscle urea (on a dry-weight basis) were the likely explanation for the 56% increase in muscle water content observed after 20 wk of air exposure. The possibility that muscle acted as a “water reservoir” during air exposure was supported by the 20% decline in body mass observed during subsequent reimmersion in water. This decline in body mass was equivalent to 28 mL water in a 100-g animal and was very close to the calculated net water gain (approximately 32 mL) observed during the 20-wk period of air exposure. Tritiated water and unidirectional ion fluxes on air-exposed lungfish revealed that the majority of water and ion exchange was via the ventral body surface at rates that were initially similar to aquatic rates. The 3H-H2O flux declined over time but increased upon reimmersion. We conclude that the slender lungfish body surface, including the gills, has relatively low permeability to water and ions but that the ventral surface is an important site of osmoregulation and ionoregulation. We further propose that an amphibian-like combination of ventral skin water and ion permeability, plus internal urea accumulation during air exposure, allows P. dolloi to extract water from its surroundings and to store water in the muscle when the water supply becomes limited.

Introduction

The six species of lungfish (subclass: Sarcopterygii) belong to the families Ceratodontidae (Australian lungfish; Neoceratodus forsteri), Lepidosirenidae (South American lungfish; Lepidosiren paradoxa), and Protopteridae, which comprises the four species of African lungfish belonging to the genus Protopterus (P. aethiopicus, P. amphibius, P. annectens, P. dolloi; see Graham 1997 for review). The Protopteridae are distributed across tropical Africa, and these obligate air breathers have been subject to intense scrutiny by respiratory physiologists interested in the evolution and development of air breathing in vertebrates (e.g., Smith 1931; McMahon 1970; Johansen et al. 1976a; Laurent et al. 1978; Perry et al. 2005). The African lungfish are also renowned for their ability to enter a prolonged (up to 4 yr) state of torpor or estivation during periods of extreme drought (e.g., Smith 1931; Janssens 1964; DeLaney et al. 1974). With the onset of the dry season, some lungfish burrow into the mud and secrete a mucus cocoon that surrounds the body to reduce desiccation (see Fishman et al. 1986 for review). During both estivation and air exposure, nitrogenous wastes are also converted to and stored in the body as urea, rather than as the more toxic ammonia (Smith 1930, 1931; Janssens 1964; Jans-
Unidirectional Na⁺ across the intraperitoneal (IP) cavity (Evans 1967; Potts et al. 1970). Only P. annectens is reported to estivate in nature on a regular basis (Greenwood 1986), but all African lungfish have the ability to secrete a cocoon and to enter an estivation-like state when denied access to water in the laboratory. Although nitrogenous waste production and excretion during estivation have been studied extensively, less is known about how internal ion and osmotic balance is maintained in lungfish during periods of water shortage. The goal of this study was to determine how the slender lungfish P. dolloi controls internal osmotic and electrolyte balance while in water and during periods of limited water availability due to air exposure.

In most freshwater fish species, the gills are the major site of ion exchange (Perry 1997; Marshall 2002), but they are also the major route of water entry due to the large surface area generated by numerous filaments containing secondary lamellae (Evans 1979). The gills of lungfish, however, are greatly reduced and characterized by a lack of gill filaments and secondary lamellae on the anterior gill arches and relatively low numbers of these structures on the posterior arches (Laurent et al. 1978; Burggren and Johansen 1986). As a result, in juvenile and adult life stages, the gills play a relatively minor role in gas exchange, with most O₂ (>80%) uptake occurring via the lungs (McMahon 1970; Johansen et al. 1976a; Perry et al. 2005). Based on these facts, a key objective of this study was to test the hypothesis that the gills and general body surface (skin) of P. dolloi were relatively impermeable to water, Na⁺, and Cl⁻ while the animals were submerged in water. We tested this hypothesis by measuring rates of exchangeable water turnover between P. dolloi and its environment by tracking the appearance of radiolabeled 'H₂O in the water after its injection into the intraperitoneal (IP) cavity (Evans 1967; Potts et al. 1970). Unidirectional Na⁺ and Cl⁻ flux rates were also determined by measuring the changes in water radioactivity after the external addition of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ (Wood 1988; Wilkie et al. 1998) to determine basal rates of ion exchange across the body surface of P. dolloi.

All of the African lungfish are amphibiourds, and P. dolloi lives in marginal, swampy habitat (Greenwood 1986) where it could be subject to short periods of air exposure while stranded in puddles or during temporary aerial excursions. Under such circumstances, the gills would be inoperative due to the collapse of secondary lamellae and fusion of gill filaments on the posterior arches (Sturla et al. 2002). An ability to continue uptake of water and/or ions from the limited water remaining in their habitat by using other routes/mechanisms could therefore prolong lungfish survival by minimizing the severity of osmotic and ionic disturbances. To examine this possibility, we took advantage of the ability of P. dolloi to survive out of water for prolonged periods by entering an estivation-like state characterized by the secretion of a cocoon that envelops the animal’s dorsolateral body surface while the ventral surface remains in contact with the moist substrate (Chew et al. 2003; Wood et al. 2005). Because humid conditions are essential for survival under such circumstances, we predicted that water and ion uptake would take place across the ventral body surface. To test this hypothesis, 'H₂O and unidirectional Na⁺ and Cl⁻ flux measurements were performed on acutely (4–8 h) and chronically (1 wk–6 mo) air-exposed lungfish that were suspended over a water bath that was in contact with only the ventral body surface. These studies were also accompanied by measurements of plasma nitrogen stores (ammonia, urea, trimethylamine oxide), osmolality and ion concentrations, and water and urea content of muscle to assess how effectively the lungfish defended osmotic and ionic status during prolonged air exposure.

Material and Methods

Experimental Animals and Holding

Adult slender African lungfish averaging 156 g in mass (range = 56–274 g), originally captured in western Africa, were purchased from a tropical fish dealer in Singapore and then air-shipped to McMaster University, in Hamilton, Ontario, in plastic bags that were partially filled with water and had an overlying air phase that was saturated with oxygen. The lungfish were subsequently held individually in static 10-L covered aquaria, containing approximately 2 L of warm (25°–28°C) water, and subject to a 12L : 12D photoperiod. Because African lungfish are obligate air breathers, aeration of the water was not necessary, but the water was supplemented with Instant Ocean, resulting in [Na⁺] ~2.0 mmol L⁻¹, [Cl⁻] ~1.8 mmol L⁻¹, and [Ca²⁺] ~1.2 mmol L⁻¹; pH ~7.8; and hardness ~170 ppm, which minimized the incidence of fungal infections and overall stress. The lungfish were fed bloodworms (chironomid larvae) three times per week, but non-air-exposed lungfish (aquatic) were starved for 3–5 d before experiments to minimize possible artifacts that feeding might have introduced to ion or 'H₂O measurements. This also permitted more meaningful comparisons between aquatic and air-exposed lungfish, which do not feed while estivating. All experimental and holding procedures used followed Canadian Council on Animal Care guidelines and were approved by the McMaster University Animal Care Committee.

Experimental Protocol and Air Exposure

Air exposure was achieved by completely draining each holding chamber (25 cm × 18.5 cm) and then adding back 20 mL of water (same composition as above) to create a film of water on the bottom of each chamber. The animals were sprayed every sixth day with a fine mist of water to maintain high relative humidity throughout the air-exposure period (1 wk–6 mo). To minimize disturbance, air-exposed animals were held under constant darkness, but they were inspected twice per day,
except on weekends (once per day). Under these conditions, it was noted that cocoon formation began within 1–2 d of initiating air exposure, and after approximately 1 wk, a clearly discernible cocoon could be seen covering the animal’s dorsolateral body surface. Of the 45 lungfish that were exposed to air for 1 wk or longer, 42 survived air exposure and reimmersion in water.

**Experiment 1: Effects of Air Exposure on Osmotic, Ionic, and Nitrogenous Waste Balance.** Lungfish were held under aquatic (control) or terrestrial (air exposure) conditions for 1, 4, or 20 wk. At the conclusion of each period, blood samples (50–500 μL) were collected by caudal puncture using 26-gauge insulin syringes that were prerinised with Na–heparin (1 mg mL−1); the samples were subsequently centrifuged at 10,000 g for 3 min to separate the plasma from the corpuscular portion. Where sufficient blood was available (>200 μL), hematocrit was also determined using Na–heparinized capillary tubes centrifuged for 5 min at 6,000 g. Plasma was transferred to 500-μL centrifuge tubes, frozen in liquid N2, and stored at −80°C until analyzed for plasma osmolality, ions (Na+, Cl−), and nitrogenous compounds (ammonia, urea, and trimethylamine oxide [TMAO]). Muscle samples were also collected from the dorsolateral side of a subset of anesthetized animals that were terminally sampled, under aquatic conditions or after air exposure for 4 or 20 wk, for determination of muscle water and urea content.

To determine how the simultaneous stresses of starvation and air exposure affected body mass, lungfish were weighed at the beginning and end of 1, 4, or 20 wk of air exposure. Changes in body mass were also monitored in a subset of four animals during reimmersion after 20 wk of air exposure to determine how the return to completely aquatic conditions affected net water balance. In these experiments, mass was determined at 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 24, 48, and 72 h of reimmersion.

**Experiment 2: Effects of Air Exposure on 3H–H2O Flux across the Ventral Body Surface.** To examine water flux, we measured 3H–H2O movements across the lungfish body surface (gills, skin, and also renal routes) in water and in air (ventral body surface only) after IP injection of 0.75 mCi kg−1 of 3H–H2O taken from a 0.5 μCi 3H–H2O μL−1 stock dissolved in physiological saline. Before injection, body weights were determined in aquatic-phase animals by covering them with a moistened cloth to prevent damage to their delicate skin, blotting them dry, and then recording mass to the nearest 0.1 g, using an analytical balance. The fish were then wrapped again with the moist cloth and positioned firmly on a large moistened sponge, and the 3H–H2O was injected. Care was taken to ensure that the 3H–H2O solution did not leak out of the injection site. As an additional precaution, the 3H–H2O-injected lungfish were left 1 h to allow the isotope to become equilibrated throughout the extracellular and intracellular spaces (Evans 1967). Air-exposed animals were injected in a similar manner, but damage to the cocoon was minimal because the site of injection (ventral body surface) lacked this covering. After injection, the aquatic or air-exposed lungfish were transferred to the appropriate experimental chamber for measurement of the 3H–H2O flux. The experimental chambers either contained 2.5 L of nonradioactive water (aquatic controls) or comprised a mesh screen upon which the lungfish was placed, overlying 1.3 L of water. After the 1-h postinjection period, water samples (10 mL) were collected at 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 18 h. Water flux was calculated based on the appearance of the radioactive 3H–H2O in the water. As an additional check of our protocol, 3H–H2O influx and efflux were compared using two subgroups of acutely (short-term; 4 h) or chronically (long-term; 6 mo) air-exposed lungfish. Unlike efflux, water influx determinations were based on the disappearance of 3H–H2O radioactivity following the addition of 10 μCi isotope to the underlying water. To verify that changes in water radioactivity were not due to evaporation, water samples were also taken from chambers spiked with 3H–H2O (as above) but containing no fish.

To determine whether subsequent reimmersion resulted in net stimulation of whole-body water turnover, 3H–H2O flux rate experiments were performed on lungfish during reimmersion after 20 wk of air exposure. In these experiments, the isotope was injected intraperitoneally (as previously described) and followed by reimmersion in 2.5 L of water 1 h later. An initial water sample (0 h; 10 mL) was then collected, followed by additional samples at 4, 8, and 12 h. Care was taken to remove the cocoon from the water as it was shed by the lungfish. Nonterminal blood samples were collected from another group of animals after 8 or 24 h of reimmersion for determination of plasma osmolality.

**Experiment 3: Unidirectional Na+, Cl−, and Acid-Base Equivalent Movements during Air Exposure and Reimmersion.** To determine routine rates of Na+ and Cl− net flux, influx, and efflux in aquatic lungfish, dual-label experiments were performed using 22Na+ and 36Cl− as previously described (e.g., Wood 1988; Goss et al. 1992; Wilkie et al. 1998). Unlike the 3H–H2O efflux measurements, ion influx measurement was based on decreases in water radioactivity due to uptake of 22Na+ or 36Cl− across the skin and/or gills (refer to “Analytical Techniques and Calculations” for additional details). Briefly, fish were held in chambers containing 2.5 L water that was moderately aerated to ensure adequate mixing of water and isotope. Fifteen minutes before initiating fluxes, 10 μCi of 22Na+ or 20 μCi 36Cl− were added to the water. Water samples (10 mL) were subsequently taken at 0, 2, 4, and 8 h after isotope addition. Although previously published studies used smaller amounts of isotope, it should be pointed out that the greater overall activities of 22Na+ or 36Cl− used in this experiment were needed to allow us to resolve unidirectional ion fluxes against the 2-mmol-L−1 background NaCl concentrations. The net effect was that the specific
activity of each isotope was approximately 2 μCi 22Na/mmol Na⁺ and 4 μCi 36Cl/mmol Cl⁻, which was in the same range used in similar unidirectional flux studies (e.g., Wood 1988; Goss et al. 1992; Wilkie et al. 1998).

Ion fluxes were also performed on air-exposed animals, but in these experiments, 22Na⁺ (10 μCi) and 36Cl⁻ (20 μCi) were added to the bath water. Because the water was in direct contact with the ventral body surface, this allowed us to directly measure ion movements across this region of the lungfish body surface. After a 15-min mixing period, water samples were collected at 0, 2, 4, and 8 h for later determination of radioactivity and ion concentrations. An empty chamber containing the same volume of water but spiked with 22Na⁺ and/or 36Cl⁻ was used as a control. Because rehydration of the gills, a main site of ion regulation in most fish (Ferry 1997; Marshall 2002), could also alter ion movements after reimmersion, recovery experiments in water that were similar to those described for 3H-H₂O (above) were performed to track ion movements after 4 wk of air exposure. In these experiments, water samples were taken at 0, 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, and 24 h after reimmersion.

To determine whether air exposure was associated with acid-base disturbances and subsequent correction after reimmersion, net acid movements (J⁺net) were measured in five lungfish following reimmersion after 6 mo of air exposure. Because J⁺net is the sum of the titratable acid flux (J⁺TA) and ammonia excretion (J⁺N), the titratable alkalinity and ammonia concentration was determined in water samples (20 mL) taken at 0, 4, 8, and 12 h after reimmersion (cf. Wood 1988; Wilkie et al. 1998). Water ion concentrations were also measured to determine whether differences in the net fluxes of Na⁺ versus Cl⁻ could explain possible acid-base equivalent movements during reimmersion (Wood 1988; Wilkie et al. 1998).

Analytical Techniques and Calculations

Osmolality, Ions, and Nitrogenous Compounds. The osmolality of plasma samples (10 μL) was determined using a Wescor 5100C (Logan, UT) vapor pressure osmometer. Plasma and water Cl⁻ concentrations were measured using the mercuric thiocyanate assay (Zall et al. 1956), while Na⁺ concentrations were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Varian Spectra AA-220 FS, Palo Alto, CA). Plasma ammonia levels were determined enzymatically (glutamate dehydrogenase reaction) using a commercial kit (Raichem ammonia reagent, product 85446; Hemagen, Columbia, MD) and plasma urea levels were determined using the modified diacetyl monoxime method (Rahmatullah and Boyde 1980). Muscle urea was analyzed in the same manner using muscle samples that had been ground to a fine powder under liquid N₂, deproteinized in 6% perchloric acid, and then neutralized with 2 N KOH (Wilkie et al. 1999). Plasma trimethylamine (TMA) and TMAO were measured using the ferrous sulfate/EDTA method (Weckell and Barnett 1991). Muscle water content was determined on pieces of the lateral trunk muscle (~100 mg) that had been dried to constant weight at 80°C for 5 d and expressed as mL water g⁻¹ dry muscle.

3H-H₂O Fluxes. Water efflux rates across the body surface were determined by first calculating the decreases in whole body radioactivity based on differences between water radioactivity at the beginning and end of the sampling period. This information was then used to determine the 3H-H₂O efflux rate (J₃H-H₂O) in counts per minute (CPM) kg⁻¹ h⁻¹, using the following formula:

\[ J_{3H-H_2O} = \frac{CPM_i - CPM_f}{T \times M}, \]  

where CPMᵢ and CPMᵢ represent the initial and final CPM for a given flux period, T is the flux period duration (h), and M is the mass of the fish (kg). The rates calculated in equation (1) were then used to determine the water efflux (clearance) rate as follows:

\[ \text{water efflux (clearance) rate} = \frac{J_{3H-H_2O}}{Z}, \]  

where Z equals the average CPM mL⁻¹ in each fish during each flux period in question and water efflux rate is expressed as mL kg⁻¹ h⁻¹. Rate constants for exchangeable water efflux (K) were then determined as described by Evans (1967), where

\[ K = 2.3 \log_{10} \left( \frac{CPM_i}{CPM_f} \right) \]  

The H₂O influx was determined by measuring the decreases in 3H-H₂O mean specific activity after the addition of the isotope to the water, as described below for the determination of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ influx. In all 3H-H₂O experiments, water radioactivity was determined on 2.5-mL water samples to which 5.0 mL of ACS fluor (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK) was added using a Rackbeta LKB1217 scintillation counter. Preliminary tests indicated that the quench was constant.

Unidirectional Na⁺ and Cl⁻ Fluxes. Determinations of the unidirectional flux of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ used approaches similar to those in previous studies (e.g., Wood 1988; Wilkie et al. 1998). Briefly, net Na⁺ (J⁺net) and Cl⁻ (J⁻net) movements were calculated to determine whether the fish were experiencing a net loss (negative J⁺net) or net gain (positive J⁺net) of Na⁺ and/or Cl⁻ from their bodies, using the following formula:

\[ J_{\text{ion}}^{\text{net}} = \frac{([\text{ion}]_f - [\text{ion}]_i) \times V}{T \times M}, \]
where \([\text{ion}]_{i}\) and \([\text{ion}]_{f}\) represent the concentrations of “cold” (nonradioactive) \(\text{Na}^{+}\) or \(\text{Cl}^{-}\) in the water at the beginning and end of a flux period, respectively; \(V\) is the volume of water (mL) in the container; and \(T\) and \(M\) are as previously stated.

Rates of \(\text{Na}^{+}\) \((J_{\text{in}}^{\text{Na}})\) and \(\text{Cl}^{-}\) influx \((J_{\text{in}}^{\text{Cl}})\) were based on reductions in water radioactivity during each flux period as described by

\[
J_{\text{in}} = \frac{(\text{CPM}_i - \text{CPM}_f) \times V}{\text{MSA} \times M \times T},
\]

where \(\text{CPM}_i\) and \(\text{CPM}_f\) are the radioactivities for \(^{22}\text{Na}^{+}\) and \(^{36}\text{Cl}^{-}\), respectively (CPM \(\mu\text{mol}^{-1}\)); MSA is the mean specific activity of each isotope in the external water (CPM \(\mu\text{mol}^{-1}\)); and \(V\), \(M\), and \(T\) are as previously stated. Back-flux correction (Wood 1988) was not required because internal CPM never exceeded 10% of the total CPM in the water during any given period. Because \(^{22}\text{Na}^{+}\) emits both gamma and beta radiation, while \(^{36}\text{Cl}^{-}\) emits only beta radiation, water samples (2.5 mL) were first counted on a Packard 5000 series gamma counter to determine the radioactivity due to \(^{22}\text{Na}^{+}\). Thereafter, 5 mL of ACS scintillation fluid was added to the samples, which were left overnight to minimize chemiluminescence, before total CPM was determined the following morning via scintillation counting. Tests again demonstrated that quench was constant. The CPM due to \(^{36}\text{Cl}^{-}\) was based on the difference between the total scintillation CPM \((^{22}\text{Na}^{+} + ^{36}\text{Cl}^{-})\) and the gamma CPM \((^{22}\text{Na}^{+}\) only\) after correcting for differences in the counting efficiencies between the gamma counter and the scintillation counter.

**Net Acid Flux after Reimmersion.** The measurement of \(J_{\text{in}}^{\text{Cl}}\) is described by the following relationship:

\[
J_{\text{in}}^{\text{Cl}} = J^{\text{TA}} + J_{\text{ammo}},
\]

where \(J^{\text{TA}}\) is the titratable acid flux and \(J_{\text{ammo}}\) is the ammonia excretion rate. The \(J^{\text{TA}}\) was based on the difference in titratable alkalinity between the beginning and end of a sample period, where titratable alkalinity was measured by titrating water samples (10 mL; refrigerated for no more than 24 h) to an end point of pH 4.0, using analytical grade 0.02 mol L\(^{-1}\) HCl (Sigma). Differences in water ammonia concentrations between the beginning and end of a flux period were used to calculate \(J_{\text{ammo}}\) (see Wood 1988; Wilkie et al. 1998 for further details).

**Statistical Analyses**

All data are expressed as the mean ± 1 SEM. Where appropriate, data were analyzed using unpaired \(t\)-tests or either one-way or repeated-measures ANOVA followed by a Tukey post-test. In instances where the data were not normally distributed, a nonparametric ANOVA followed by Dunn’s multiple comparisons test was performed. Significance was determined at the \(P<0.05\) level.

**Results**

**Effects of Air Exposure on Osmolality, Urea, Ions, and Body Mass**

Air exposure was characterized by the formation of a cocoon that covered the dorsolateral body surface of *Protopterus dolloi*. Under these conditions, most fish exhibited very little movement, but in a few instances it was clear that fish had shifted positions without damaging the cocoon. In addition, the fish sometimes responded to external stimuli (e.g., sudden noises, jarring of the container) with slight body movements and the occasional grunting sound.

Plasma osmolality was unaffected by air exposure in the slender lungfish, fluctuating around 240–260 mOsm kg\(^{-1}\) under aquatic conditions and after 1, 4, and 20 wk of air exposure (Fig. 1A). This was despite a seven- to eightfold increase in mean plasma urea after 4 and 20 wk of air exposure (Fig. 1B), during which times individual values approached 100 mmol urea-N L\(^{-1}\) in some fish (range = 12–104 mmol urea-N L\(^{-1}\)). Despite the high plasma urea concentrations, the counterbal-
Table 1: Plasma Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) concentrations and hematocrit measured in the slender African lungfish (Protopterus dolloi) during 20 wk of air exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aquatic 1 wk</th>
<th>Air Exposure 4 wk</th>
<th>Air Exposure 20 wk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Na(^+)] (mmol L(^-1))</td>
<td>99.2 ± 3.4 (8)</td>
<td>105.0 ± 1.8 (5)</td>
<td>103.6 ± 1.9 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Cl(^-)] (mmol L(^-1))</td>
<td>88.2 ± 5.0 (8)</td>
<td>82.8 ± 3.1 (9)</td>
<td>84.4 ± 4.0 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)</td>
<td>30.4 ± 1.2 (5)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>29.2 ± 1.8 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Data expressed as the mean ± 1 SEM; sample sizes are in parentheses.

Plasma Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) concentrations were stable under terrestrial conditions compared with aquatic conditions, ranging from 100 to 105 mmol L\(^-1\) and 83 to 99 mmol L\(^-1\), respectively (Table 1). Similarly, blood hematocrit was also unaltered during air exposure, averaging approximately 30% under aquatic and terrestrial conditions (Table 1).

In contrast to the plasma, muscle water content was 5.85 mL g\(^-1\) dry muscle after 20 wk of air exposure, which was 56% greater than that measured in lungfish held under aquatic conditions. Muscle water content was not significantly different from that of aquatic animals after 4 wk of air exposure, however (Fig. 2A). There was substantial urea accumulation in the muscle during air exposure, which had increased by fivefold after 4 wk. Most notable was that the eightfold greater muscle urea concentrations observed in lungfish that were exposed to air for 20 wk (Fig. 2B) coincided with the much greater water content that was observed in the muscle at this time (Fig. 2A).

There was little change in body mass during 4 wk of air exposure, with the greatest differences occurring after 1 wk, during which time body mass significantly decreased by almost 4%. Although body mass was approximately 5% lower after 4 wk of air exposure, it was similar to original values after 20 wk (Fig. 3A). On reimmersion after 20 wk, body mass was stable for the first 4 h but decreased steadily thereafter. By 8 h, mass had significantly decreased by 5%, and by 24 h it was 20% lower than at the beginning of reimmersion (Fig. 3B). By 72 h, the body mass appeared to have stabilized (Fig. 3B).

\(^3\)H-H\(_2\)O Fluxes

Following IP injection of \(^3\)H-H\(_2\)O, the water efflux rate across the body surface of the lungfish was approximately 130 mL kg\(^-1\) h\(^-1\) (Fig. 4), with a rate constant (K) of 17.6% h\(^-1\) (Table 2). After acute transfer to terrestrial conditions, water efflux did not significantly change over the first 4 h. In contrast, water efflux was about 65% lower in animals that had been air exposed for 6 mo (Fig. 4). When rates of water influx were determined by adding \(^3\)H-H\(_2\)O to the water contacting the ventral skin, water influx rates were similar to the water efflux rates measured in animals immediately after air exposure. However, water influx was slightly lower than efflux in the group that

---

**Figure 2.** Differences in muscle water content (A) and urea concentrations (B) in the slender African lungfish (Protopterus dolloi) under aquatic conditions (solid bar; N = 5) and after air exposure (hatched bars) for 4 wk (N = 5) or 20 wk (N = 5). Water content expressed as mL of H\(_2\)O g dry muscle\(^-1\). Data presented as the mean ± 1 SEM. Asterisks denote statistically significant differences from animals held under aquatic conditions (P < 0.05), while the dagger indicates water contents significantly different from values measured after 4 wk of air exposure.
Unidirectional Na⁺ and Cl⁻ Fluxes

Under aquatic conditions, the lungfish maintained both Na⁺ and Cl⁻ balance, as both $J_{\text{Na}}^\text{in}$ and $J_{\text{Cl}}^\text{in}$ were not significantly different from 0. The $J_{\text{Na}}^\text{in}$ averaged 15 μmol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹, with a corresponding influx rate of −10 μmol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Fig. 7A). The $J_{\text{Cl}}^\text{in}$ averaged 20 μmol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ but in some instances was undetectable, and the corresponding rate of Cl⁻ efflux averaged −75 μmol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Fig. 7B). Acute air exposure for 8 h led to net Na⁺ losses of approximately −50 μmol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹, but Cl⁻ balance was maintained (Fig. 7). The net Na⁺ losses were mainly due to an increase in efflux, which increased 10-fold during the initial 8 h of air exposure, while $J_{\text{Cl}}^\text{in}$ averaged approximately 40 μmol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ but was not significantly different from aquatic (pre-air-exposure) rates (Fig. 7A). Following 6 mo of air exposure, neither $J_{\text{Na}}^\text{in}$ nor $J_{\text{Cl}}^\text{in}$ was significantly different from 0, and $J_{\text{Na}}^\text{out}$ and $J_{\text{Cl}}^\text{out}$ averaged 30 and 10 μmol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 7).

On reimmersion after 4 wk of air exposure, when gill perfusion and function were presumably resumed (see “Discussion”), there was an elevation of $J_{\text{Na}}^\text{in}$, which increased by eightfold over the first 4 h (Fig. 8A). Although $J_{\text{Na}}^\text{in}$ fluctuated between 30 and 60 μmol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ between 4 and 24 h, these rates were not significantly different from air-exposed (and aquatic) values (Fig. 8A). Despite greater variability, no significant changes in $J_{\text{Cl}}^\text{in}$ were observed on reimmersion (Fig. 8B).

Net ion losses and acidic equivalent movements were also examined after reimmersion. Although the first 4 h were characterized by a significant net loss of Cl⁻ in these fish (Table 3), $J_{\text{Cl}}^\text{out}$ was unaffected. This resulted in a predicted net H⁺ loss (predicted net H⁺ loss = $J_{\text{net}}^\text{Cl} - J_{\text{net}}^\text{Na}$, Wood 1988) of approximately −290 μmol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Table 3). Similarly, actual net H⁺ excretion over this same 0–4 h reimmersion period was approximately −180 μmol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Table 3). This net H⁺ excretion was chronically air exposed for 6 mo (Fig. 4). Since the gills were not immersed, both the water influx and efflux data confirmed that water exchange was taking place across the ventral surface of the animals, the only region of the body in contact with the water.

Although water efflux continued after air exposure, it was significantly reduced (by 55%) within 1 wk and remained depressed over 6 mo of air exposure, fluctuating between 45 and 60 mL kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Fig. 5), at which time $K$ ranged between 5.0% and 8.0% h⁻¹ (Table 2). When a subgroup of fish were reimmersed after 20 wk of air exposure, there was a three-and-one-half- to fourfold increase in water turnover during the first 12 h (Fig. 6A), but plasma osmolality was unaltered (Fig. 6B).

Figure 3. Changes in the wet body mass (g) of Protopterus dolloi after 1, 4, or 20 wk of air exposure (A; $N = 6–10$) and after reimmersion after 20 wk of air exposure (B; $N = 4$). Data expressed as the mean ± 1 SEM. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the initial and final masses measured in animals before and after 1, 4, or 20 wk of air exposure (A) or significant differences from mass measured at the beginning of the reimmersion period (B; 0 h; $P < 0.05$).

Figure 4. Water flux measured in Protopterus dolloi held under aquatic conditions ($N = 8$), or after acute (4 h; $N = 5$) or chronic (6 mo; $N = 4–5$) air exposure. The rates of water efflux were measured in aquatic (solid bar) and air-exposed animals after intraperitoneal injection of 3H-H2O (hatched bars). For comparison, water influx was also determined in air-exposed animals (open bars) after direct addition of 3H-H2O to the water (see text for details). Data presented as the mean ± 1 SEM. The asterisk denotes a statistically significant difference from animals held under aquatic conditions, while the dagger denotes a significant difference between influx and efflux in the chronically (6 mo) air-exposed lungfish ($P < 0.05$).
Table 2: Rate constants for water efflux ($K$) in aquatic and air-exposed *Protopterus dolloi*, *Protopterus annectens*, and some other freshwater fish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lungfish:</th>
<th>$K$ (% Body Water h$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Protopterus dolloi</em>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic</td>
<td>17.6 ± .06 (8)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-exposed:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>11.8 ± 1.1 (5)*</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 wk</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.0 (6)*</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>4.9 ± .9 (6)*</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 wk</td>
<td>7.7 ± .6 (6)*</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>6.1 ± .8 (5)*</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protopterus annectens</em> (aquatic)</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>Oduleye 1977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Teleosts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$K$ (% Body Water h$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yellow eel (Anguilla anguilla)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>Evans 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver eel (Anguilla anguilla)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>Evans 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-spine stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus)</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Evans 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roach (Rutilus rutilus)</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>Evans 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown trout (Salmo trutta)</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>Evans 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar)</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>Potts et al. 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldfish (Carassius auratus)</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>Maetz 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killifish (Fundulus kansae)</td>
<td>138.0</td>
<td>Potts and Fleming 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilapia (Tilapia mossambica)</td>
<td>186.0</td>
<td>Potts et al. 1967</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Rate constants ($K$) for aquatic lungfish and teleosts are based on the combined efflux across the gills and skin, plus renal water losses; data from air-exposed *P. dolloi* represent efflux across the ventral body surface only. Data from this study are expressed as the mean ± 1 SEM. Sample sizes in parentheses.

* Statistically significant differences from aquatic values ($P < 0.05$).

cretion was mainly attributable to a lower uptake of titratable acid across the body surface, as rates of ammonia excretion were unchanged. Beyond 4 h, there were no further significant changes in net ion or net acid movements by the fish (Table 3).

Discussion

The Slender African Lungfish Maintains Osmotic and Electrolyte Balance during Air Exposure

The slender lungfish *Protopterus dolloi* has a remarkable ability to withstand air exposure under humid conditions for up to 6 mo, with no perturbation to osmotic and ion homeostasis. A key adaptation appears to be an ability to use the ventral body surface to take up water and ions from the thin layer of water lining the bottoms of their containers during air exposure. Under aquatic conditions, values of plasma osmolality, Na$^+$, and Cl$^-$ were similar to values previously reported in *P. dolloi* (Ip et al. 2005) and its congener, *Protopterus aethiopicus* (DeLaney et al. 1977). The ability of *P. dolloi* to produce a cocoon during air exposure is also shared with other species of *Protopterus* (e.g., Smith 1931; Janssens 1964; DeLaney et al. 1977). However, the absence of any change in osmolality, plasma ions (Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$), or hematocrit during air exposure in *P. dolloi* was in marked contrast to reports that other African lungfish experienced pronounced increases in some or all of these parameters over similar or longer periods of estivation in the laboratory (Smith 1931; Janssens 1964; Johansen et al. 1976b; DeLaney et al. 1977). These differences can probably be explained by the different air exposure methodologies used in the previous studies, in which the lungfish were held in jars or “estivation sacks” that permitted them to burrow into the mud, which was then gradually and completed dried out (Smith 1931; Janssens 1964; DeLaney et al. 1977). These previous findings led to a proposal that cocoon formation might be triggered by internal desiccation (Fishman et al. 1986). Because the slender lungfish we examined formed cocoons while maintaining internal osmotic and ionic balance while exposed to air under relatively humid conditions, it suggests that internal desiccation may not in fact be a stimulus for cocoon formation and estivation in the lungfish.

Plasma urea concentrations in aquatic *P. dolloi* were comparable with concentrations in previous studies on this species (Lim et al. 2004; Ip et al. 2005; Wood et al. 2005) as well as *P. aethiopicus* (Smith 1930; DeLaney et al. 1977; Loong et al. 2005) and *Protopterus annectens* (Loong et al. 2005). The magnitude of plasma urea elevation was comparable with increases previously reported in this species during air exposure (Ip et al.
Figure 5. Water efflux measured in *Protopterus dolloi* held under aquatic conditions (solid bar; *N* = 8) and after air exposure (hatched bars) for 4 h (*N* = 5), 1 wk (*N* = 6), 4 wk (*N* = 6), 20 wk (*N* = 8), or 6 mo (*N* = 5). Data presented as the mean ± 1 SEM. Asterisks denote statistically significant differences from animals held under aquatic conditions (*P* < 0.05). Note that the rates of efflux under aquatic conditions and after 4 h and 6 mo of air exposure were presented in Figure 4, but they were replotted here for reference.

2005; Wood et al. 2005) but less than in other species of estivating *Protopterus* (Smith 1930; Janssens 1964; DeLaney et al. 1977; Ip et al. 2005). The accumulation of urea may not have been as great in our study as in fully estivating lungfish due to extrabranchial urea excretion. Indeed, recent divided-chamber experiments have revealed that extrabranchial urea excretion sites such as the skin and/or renal routes made equal contributions to ammonia and urea excretion in water (Wood et al. 2005). Although significant renal urea excretion is less likely in air, due to the possibility of oliguria (cessation of urination; Smith 1930; DeLaney et al. 1977), it is likely that significant cutaneous urea excretion did occur, as recently demonstrated in air-exposed *P. dolloi* by Wood et al. (2005).

Functioning as an osmolyte, urea retention would lower the vapor pressure of the body fluids (Kirschner 1991), leading to lower rates of evaporative water loss in the air-exposed lungfish. However, its accumulation in the muscle could also generate critical osmotic gradients to promote water entry into this compartment during air exposure. Thus, the muscle could serve as a “water reservoir” that could release water to the interstitial space and plasma if the osmolality of the extracellular and intracellular spaces, thereby concentrating urea. However, the extracellular and intracellular fluid volumes are probably expanded by the above-described water uptake during air exposure in *P. dolloi*, which would dilute urea in the plasma and other body compartments. This explanation is supported by the 56% increase in muscle water content (Fig. 2A) observed after 20 wk of air exposure and the high urea concentrations in this compartment (Fig. 2B). If it is assumed that the lungfish body mass was 60% muscle, this would be equal to a net water gain of 32.3 mL in a 100-g animal. Such a large increase in muscle water content would therefore mask a much larger increase in muscle urea content than the eightfold increase observed when wet muscle mass was considered (Fig. 2B). Indeed, when expressed per gram dry muscle, urea concentration increased 13-fold from approximately 44 μmol g⁻¹ dry muscle in aquatic animals to an average of 570 μmol g⁻¹ dry muscle after 20 wk air exposure.

Urea accumulation and subsequent water uptake and storage in the muscle by the lungfish also explains the smaller than expected losses of body mass after 4 wk and the absence of change in body mass observed after 20 wk air exposure and starvation in *P. dolloi* (Fig. 3). The approximately 20% decrease (31.6 g; Fig. 3B) in body mass during the first 48–72 h of reimmersion was probably due to increased water efflux, which would have been accompanied by very high rates of urea excretion following submersion (Smith 1930; Wood et al. 2005). Indeed, the period of greatest water loss was from 6 to 24 h, which corresponds with the period of greatest urea excretion in *P. dolloi* during reimmersion reported by Wood et al. (2005). Further, the loss of body mass observed during reimmersion was equivalent to approximately 28 mL H₂O for a 100-g lung-
Figure 6. Differences in water efflux (A) and plasma osmolality (B) measured in *Protopterus dolloi* held under terrestrial conditions (hatched bar) and during the first 12–24 h after reimmersion (open bars). For water efflux, *N* = 8 during air exposure and *N* = 4 when reimmersed. For plasma osmolality measurements, *N* = 15 for air-exposed lungfish (replotted from Fig. 1 and included for reference) and *N* = 8 after 8 h and 24 h of reimmersion. Data presented as the mean ± 1 SEM. Asterisks denote statistically significant differences from air-exposed animals ( ).

Figure 7. Unidirectional Na\(^{+}\) and Cl\(^{-}\) fluxes measured in *Protopterus dolloi* held under aquatic conditions (*N* = 4) and during acute air exposure for 8 h (*N* = 6) or chronic air exposure for 6 mo (*N* = 5). Bars above the 0 baseline represent rates of ion influx (*I_\text{in}^{\text{Na}}*, *I_\text{in}^{\text{Cl}}*); bars below the 0 baseline depict ion efflux (*I_\text{out}^{\text{Na}}*, *I_\text{out}^{\text{Cl}}*), while solid bars represent the net flux (*f_\text{net}^{\text{Na}} = f_\text{in}^{\text{Na}} + f_\text{out}^{\text{Na}}*). Data presented as the mean ± 1 SEM. Asterisks denote statistically significant differences from animals held under aquatic conditions (*P* < 0.05).

Fish, which is very close to the net gain in muscle H\(_2\)O (32.3 mL) calculated above, and lends further support to this “water unloading” hypothesis. The three- to fourfold increase in H\(_2\)O efflux observed in reimmersed *P. dolloi* (Fig. 6A) also suggests that there was considerable water loss during reimmersion. It should also be noted that reimmersion was probably accompanied by diuresis, which would also contribute to net water loss during reimmersion and should be investigated in future studies.

At first glance, it may be difficult to reconcile how the mass gain by the air-exposed lungfish could exceed 20 g when the water volume lining the bottom of its chamber was only approximately 20 mL. However, it should be noted that the water in the chamber was regularly replenished (weekly) over the 20-wk exposure period, thereby allowing the air-exposed lungfish to accumulate and store water in excess of 20 mL (20 g). Indeed, similar factors likely explain why *P. annectens* lost little mass (only approximately 6%) when estivated in the laboratory for approximately 6 mo (Janssens 1964). Although a cocoon was present in that study, weight loss was probably reduced because the mud into which the fish had burrowed was not dried out completely (Janssens 1964).

To offset urea’s perturbing effects, the counterbalancing solute TMAO is also retained in the plasma and tissues of elasmobranchs (Ballantyne 1997; Yancey 2001). Although we suspected that TMAO could play a similar role in *P. dolloi*, we were unable to detect TMAO or its precursor TMA in the plasma. Perhaps there is little selective pressure to offset the possible inhibition of metabolic enzymes by urea because it might actually provide a mechanism of metabolic downregulation during air exposure and/or estivation (Smith 1930; Janssens 1964). Against this hypothesis is the work of Grundy and Storey (1994), who demonstrated that the kinetic properties of pyruvate kinase and phosphofructokinase in skeletal muscle homogenates of estivating spadefoot toads and nonestivating
leopard frogs (Rana pipiens) were virtually unaffected by urea (up to 600 mmol N L\(^{-1}\)) and TMAO. Studies on a greater number of amphibians and lungfish are clearly required to determine whether enzyme inhibition by urea is an important aspect of metabolic downregulation in at least some of these animals.

**Extrabranchial Route(s) of Water Exchange**

In water, the tritiated water flux constant (\(K\)) was approximately 18% h\(^{-1}\). While low, this indicates that the overall water permeability of P. dolloi was merely at the low end of the range for freshwater fish (Table 2), somewhat surprising given the lungfish’s greatly reduced gill (Burggren and Johansen 1986). Anatomically, the gills of Protopterus lack filaments and secondary lamellae on the anterior gill arches, and lamellar perfusion is drastically reduced to the posterior arches during air exposure and after lung breaths due to the opening of arterio-arterial shunts (Laurent et al. 1978). Based on this evidence, we had hypothesized that water turnover would be lower than values reported in exclusively aquatic fish. As our data did not support this hypothesis, they suggest that an additional site of water exchange was the skin.

Few studies have examined the skin of lungfish (e.g., Kitzan and Sweeney 1968; Sturla et al. 2001, 2002). Graham (1997) reported that supradermal capillary density in P. annectens was comparable with the density in many frogs, in which the critical role of the skin for water uptake is firmly established (for reviews, see Toledo and Jared 1993; Jørgensen 1997). Tritiated water fluxes revealed appreciable water movement across the ventral body surface of P. dolloi during air exposure. Because water flux during initial air exposure (4 or 8 h) was not significantly different from the aquatic condition, our findings suggest that the majority of water exchange is ventral, even when the animals are submerged in water. We also measured unidirectional water influx by tracking decreases in external \(^3\)H-H\(_2\)O radioactivity that was added to the bath in acutely (4 h) and chronically (6 mo) air-exposed animals. Water influx approximately equaled water efflux measured in the acutely air-exposed animals but was slightly lower in the chronically air-exposed animals. Nevertheless, these findings not only validated our protocol but also confirmed our hypothesis of ventral water exchange (Fig. 4).

Sturla and colleagues (2001) demonstrated that the dorsal and ventral skin of P. annectens contains mitochondria-rich (MR) cells similar to those found in the fish gill. This indicates that the skin in African lungfish probably has an ionoregulatory role (see below), as has been well established in amphibian skin (e.g., Koefoed-Johnsen and Ussing 1958; Ehrenfeld and Garcia-Romeu 1977; Ehrenfeld et al. 1989). Further, water and ion uptake are both known to take place in the ventral pelvic region of amphibians (pelvic patch; Toledo and Jared 1993), which also contains aquaporins, as recently demonstrated in the tree frog (Hyla japonica; Hasegawa et al. 2003). The possibility of an analogous ion and water transport region in lungfish offers potentially exciting insight on the mechanisms and evolution of ion and water transport in vertebrates.

A notable effect of air exposure was the persistent 40%–70% decrease in overall water flux across the ventral body surface after approximately 1 wk (Fig. 5). Since plasma osmolality was stable during air exposure, the fish were more or less in an osmotic steady state by this time. Thus, the reduction in water flux might be a consequence of decreases in evaporative water loss due to complete formation of the water resistant cocoon covering the dorsolateral body surface. In some Australian desert frogs, African ranids, and South American frogs, cocoon formation reduced water loss by 80%–90% during prolonged estivation (Toledo and Jared 1993). Although the cocoon’s role in reducing evaporative water loss in the lungfish is a frequent subject of speculation, we are aware of no studies that have quantified it. Regardless, our findings support the supposition that lungfish efficiently extract available water from their external surroundings to stay hydrated during air exposure and probably also during the initial stages of estivation.

**Ion Uptake Continues in Air**

Inspection of the electron micrographs and immunohistochemistry of the skin and gills of P. annectens (Sturla et al. 2001) suggests the MR cells are fewer in number and size compared to teleosts (Perry 1997; Marshall 2002). These observations, combined with the lungfish’s reduced gill (Laurent et al.
variation between cells in both the dorsal and ventral skin of skin during air exposure. The aforementioned presence of MR (Sturla et al. 2001) is in accord with these data. Because MR cells are also present in amphibian skin (Ehrenfeld et al. 1989), our data complement the morphological findings of Sturla et al. (2001, 2002) and their prediction of a “convergence of strategies” of osmoregulation and ionoregulation between lungfish and amphibians.

The increase in Na\(^+\) influx observed immediately after reimmersion was probably related to reperfusion of blood to the gills. Similar increases in urea excretion are also thought to be at least partially attributable to this factor in African lungfish (Smith 1930; Wood et al. 2005). It is tempting to speculate that the increase in Na\(^+\) influx was related to the large surge of urea excretion (2,000–4,000 \(\mu\)mol kg\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)), which reportedly occurs at this time and is proposed to involve facilitated and/or active urea transport (Wood et al. 2005), but more detailed examinations are needed.

The movements of Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) might also be manipulated for the purpose of acid-base regulation after reimmersion. Due to the need to maintain electroneutrality, loss of either Na\(^+\) or Cl\(^-\) across an epithelial surface must be accompanied by respective losses of HCO\(_3^-\) (or H\(^+\) uptake as a counterion) or H\(^+\) (or HCO\(_3^-\) uptake) according to the strong ion difference theory (Stewart 1983; Goss and Wood 1991). Although we did not measure internal acid-base status, the relatively short-term, slight stimulation of \(I_{\text{Na}}^{\text{out}}\) after reimmersion indicates that pH disturbances were probably minimal during air exposure. This slight stimulation of \(I_{\text{Na}}^{\text{out}}\) appeared to result from greater net Cl\(^-\) versus Na\(^+\) losses (Table 3). Between 8 and 12 h, however, the fish appeared to be in acid-base balance when titratable acid uptake was balanced by the stable, outward ammonia flux.

### Implications of Water and Ion Uptake during Estivation

In many animals, torpor and hibernation are methods of last resort in response to not only changes in water availability but also temperature extremes, resource limitations, and/or decreases in oxygen availability. Recovery from such drastic survival strategies can also be energetically expensive as animals attempt to reestablish homeostasis. In the African lungfish, estivation is the most extreme response to reductions in water availability. Our study demonstrates that the amphibian-like ability of \(P.\) dolloi to take up water and to exchange ions and

| Table 3: Predicted and actual net acid fluxes (\(\mu\)mol kg\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) across the body surface of \(P.\) dolloi after 6 mo of air exposure and during reimmersion |
|---|---|---|---|
| Terrestrial \((N = 9)\) | Reimmersion \((N = 5)\) |
| | 0–4 h | 4–8 h | 8–12 h |
| Net Cl\(^-\) flux | \(-28.1 \pm 4.4\) | \(-281.9 \pm 100.8^*\) | \(320.8 \pm 94.6\) | \(-94.3 \pm 115.3\) |
| Net Na\(^+\) flux | \(-6.6 \pm 2.4\) | \(9.6 \pm 112.9\) | \(-60.7 \pm 68.9\) | \(-139.6 \pm 154.0\) |
| Predicted net H\(^+\) flux | \(-21.6 \pm 2.9\) | \(-291.4 \pm 169.2\) | \(381.5 \pm 89.6\) | \(45.2 \pm 128.8\) |
| Titratable acid flux | \(\ldots\) | \(-8.3 \pm 38.6^*\) | \(251.0 \pm 27.1\) | \(155.0 \pm 37.9\) |
| Ammonia flux | \(\ldots\) | \(-171.0 \pm 30.6\) | \(-168.6 \pm 66.7\) | \(-192.0 \pm 41.8\) |
| Actual net H\(^+\) flux | \(\ldots\) | \(-179.3 \pm 45.7^*\) | \(81.5 \pm 80.4\) | \(-37.0 \pm 51.3\) |

Note. Data expressed as the mean \(\pm\) SEM.

* Significant difference from values measured during the 4–8-h and 8–12-h flux periods following reimmersion \((P \leq 0.05)\).
Osmoregulation and Ionoregulation in the African Lungfish

acidic equivalents with its environment via the ventral body surface enables this fish to withstand less extreme and temporary shortages of water without having to enter estivation. Moreover, by minimizing internal osmotic and ionic disturbances during air exposure, the animals probably recover more rapidly after water restriction and therefore minimize energy expenditures during recovery. We propose that the combination of ventral skin water permeability and internal urea accumulation during air exposure allows *Protopterus dolloi* to extract water from its surroundings and to store water in the muscle when water supply becomes limited. Since ammonia excretion continues during air exposure (Wood et al. 2005; Loong et al. 2005), our findings also suggest that the primary selective pressure for urea production and retention in estivating lungfish is water conservation rather than ammonia detoxification.
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